arXiv:1604.07140v1 [math.AG] 25 Apr 2016

LOG FANO STRUCTURES AND COX RINGS OF BLOW-UPS OF PRODUCTS OF PROJECTIVE SPACES

JOHN LESIEUTRE AND JINHYUNG PARK

Abstract. The aim of this paper is twofold. Firstly, we determine which blow-ups of products of projective spaces at general points are varieties of Fano type, and give boundary divisors making these spaces log Fano pairs. Secondly, we describe generators of the Cox rings of some cases.

1. Introduction

For integers a, b, c such that $a, c \geq 2, b \geq 1$ and if $c = 2$ then $a > 2$, let

$$X_{a,b,c} := \mathrm{Bl}_{b+c}(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$$

be the blow-up of $(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$ at $b+c$ general points of $(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$. Many authors have studied these spaces in a variety of flavors (see e.g., [AM], [BDP], [CDBDGP], [CT], [DO], [M1], [M2], [M3], [SV]). Finite generation of the Cox rings of these spaces is closely related to Nagata's construction for Hilbert's 14th problem (see [M1], [M2], [CT]). The *Cox ring* of a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial normal projective variety X with a finitely generated Picard group is defined as

$$\mathrm{Cox}(X) := \bigoplus_{L \in \mathrm{Pic}(X)} H^0(X, L).$$

Note that $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ is finitely generated if and only if X is a Mori dream space ([HK, Proposition 2.9]). For more details on Cox rings, see [HK]. Castravet and Tevelev finally proved that $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{a,b,c})$ is finitely generated if and only if $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} > 1$ ([CT, Theorem 1.3]).

It is well known that $X_{2,b,3} = \mathrm{Bl}_{b+3}\mathbb{P}^2$ with $1 \leq b \leq 5$ is a del Pezzo surface, and $X_{2,b,4} = \mathrm{Bl}_{b+4}\mathbb{P}^3$ with $1 \leq b \leq 3$ is a weak Fano 3-fold. In other words, $-K_{X_{2,b,3}}$ is ample and $-K_{X_{2,b,4}}$ is nef and big. Thus it is natural to ask which positivity property is satisfied by the anticanonical divisor $-K_{X_{a,b,c}}$ of $X_{a,b,c}$ with $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} > 1$. Note that $-K_{X_{a,b,c}}$ is not nef in general. For example, consider $X_{2,b,c} = \mathrm{Bl}_{b+c}\mathbb{P}^{c-1}$ with $c \geq 5$. For the strict transform C of a line between two of the blown-up points, we have $-K_{X_{2,b,c}}.C = 4 - c < 0$.

The Cox ring of a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety of Fano type is finitely generated ([BCHM, Corollary 1.3.2]). A variety X is said to be *of Fano type* if there is a boundary divisor Δ on X such that (X, Δ) is a *log Fano pair*, i.e., it is a klt pair and $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is ample. For the basics of singularities of pairs, we refer to [KM]. If $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} \leq 1$, then the Cox ring of $X_{a,b,c}$ is not finitely generated so that $X_{a,b,c}$ is not of Fano type. For the converse, it was asked by Totaro in [T, Example 8.6] whether $X_{a,b,c}$ with $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} > 1$ is a variety of Fano type. When $a = 2$, this question was affirmatively answered in [AM, Theorem 1.3].

The following is the first main result of this paper, which answers the above questions.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} > 1$. Then we have the following:*
(1) *$-K_{X_{a,b,c}}$ is movable and big.*
(2) *$X_{a,b,c}$ is of Fano type.*

For the movability of $-K_{X_{a,b,c}}$, we prove that the movable cone $\mathrm{Mov}(X_{a,b,c})$ is fixed by the Weyl group action on $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{a,b,c})$. The main ingredients of Proof of Theorem 1.1 (2) are a geometric result about $\mathrm{Proj}\,\mathrm{Cox}(X_{a,b,c})$ from [CT, Theorem 1.3] and [GOST, Theorem 1.1], which asserts that a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety is of Fano type if and only if its Cox ring only has log terminal singularities. In Remark 3.1, we explain a simple way to choose a boundary divisor $\Delta_{a,b,c}$ on $X_{a,b,c}$ with $\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} > 1$ such that

Date: April 26, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14J45, Secondary 14E30, 14C20.
Key words and phrases. log Fano variety, Cox ring, Mori dream space, blow-up of a product of projective spaces.

$(X_{a,b,c}, \Delta_{a,b,c})$ is a log Fano pair. Our methods and the choice of boundary divisors are different from those of Araujo and Massarenti in [AM]. They use Mukai's result ([M3]) about the nef chamber decomposition of the movable cone and the description of the Mori cone.

Next we investigate generators of the Cox ring of $X_{a,b,c}$ with $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}>1$. It was shown by Mukai ([M2]) that $X_{a,b,c}$ is a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of $X_{c,b,a}$, so that the Cox rings of $X_{a,b,c}$ and $X_{c,b,a}$ coincide. Thus we can assume that $a \leq c$. Then $X_{a,b,c}$ is isomorphic to one of the following:

(1) $X_{2,b,3} = \mathrm{Bl}_{b+3}\,\mathbb{P}^2$ with $1 \leq b \leq 5$
(2) $X_{2,2,c} = \mathrm{Bl}_{c+2}\,\mathbb{P}^{c-1}$ with $c \geq 2$
(3) $X_{a,1,c} = \mathrm{Bl}_{c+1}(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$ with $a \geq c \geq 2$ and $(a,c) \neq (2,2)$
(4) $X_{2,3,4} = \mathrm{Bl}_7\,\mathbb{P}^3$, $X_{2,3,5} = \mathrm{Bl}_8\,\mathbb{P}^4$, $X_{3,2,3} = \mathrm{Bl}_5(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$, $X_{3,2,4} = \mathrm{Bl}_6(\mathbb{P}^3)^2$ $X_{3,2,5} = \mathrm{Bl}_7(\mathbb{P}^4)^2$

The generators of Cox rings of varieties from (1), (2), (3) are already determined. For the case (1), $X_{2,b,3}$ is a del Pezzo surface, and the Cox rings are generated by distingushied global sections (see [BP, Theorem 3.2]). For the case (2), [CT, Theorem 1.2] explicitely describes the generators. For the case (3), we first recall that $X_{a,1,c} = \mathrm{Bl}_{c+1}(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$ is the GIT quotient of the Grassmannian $Gr(a, a+c)$. Thus $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{a,1,c})$ is isomorphic to the homogeneous coordinate ring of the Plücker embedding of $Gr(a, a+c)$ (see [CT, Remark 3.9]). The only remaining case is (4). In this paper, we determine generators of $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{2,3,4})$ and $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{3,2,3})$ as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *The Cox rings of* $X_{2,3,4} = \mathrm{Bl}_7\,\mathbb{P}^3$ *and* $X_{3,2,3} = \mathrm{Bl}_5(\mathbb{P}^2)^2$ *are generated by global sections of effective divisors of anticanonical degree* 1.

In fact, the Cox rings of varieties from (1), (2), (3) are also generated by global sections of effective divisors of anticanonical degree 1. We expect that the same is true for the remaining cases $X_{2,3,5}$, $X_{3,2,4}$, and $X_{3,2,5}$. For a computational approach, see Remark 4.2. We also discuss the relations between the generators of $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{a,b,c})$ in Remark 4.4.

It would be an interesting problem to determine which blown-up spaces of $(\mathbb{P}^n)^k$ at general linear subspaces are Mori dream spaces or even varieties of Fano type. In [DPU], the authors show that the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ at six general lines is a weak Fano variety.

Notations. Throughout the paper, we work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, and we use the following notations and assumptions. Let a, b, c be integers such that $a, c \geq 2, b \geq 1$ and if $c = 2$ then $a > 2$, and let $X_{a,b,c} := \mathrm{Bl}_{b+c}(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$ be the blow-up of $(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$ at $b+c$ general points of $(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$. In the rest of the paper, we always assume that

$$\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1}{c}>1$$

so that $X_{a,b,c}$ is a Mori dream space by [CT, Theorem 1.3]. Note that $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{a,b,c})$ is freely generated by $H_1, \ldots, H_{a-1}$ and $E_1, \ldots, E_{b+c}$ where H_i is the pull-back of the hyperplane from the i-th factor of $(\mathbb{P}^{c-1})^{a-1}$ and E_j is an exceptional divisor of the blow-up. Following Mukai [M2], we define a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{a,b,c})$ as follows: $(H_i, E_j) = 0$, $(H_i, H_j) = (c-1) - \delta_{i,j}$, $(E_i, E_j) = -\delta_{i,j}$. The *anticanonical degree of a divisor* $D \in \mathrm{Pic}(X_{a,b,c})$ is the integer $\deg(D) := \frac{1}{ac-a-c}(D, -K_{X_{a,b,c}})$.

Organization. The organization of this paper is as follows. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to proving Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we show Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Elisa Postinghel for useful comments.

2. Movability of anticanonical divisor $-K_{X_{a,b,c}}$

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 (1). First, we consider the Weyl group action on $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{a,b,c})$ following [M2]. The divisor classes of $E_1 - E_2, \ldots, E_{b+c} - E_{b+c-1}$, $H_1 - E_1 - \cdots - E_c$, $H_1 - H_2, \ldots, H_{a-2} - H_{a-1}$ form a system of simple roots of a finite root system with a Dynkin diagram $T_{a,b,c}$ the orthogonal complement of $-K_{X_{a,b,c}}$ in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{a,b,c})$ where $T_{a,b,c}$ is the T-shaped tree with legs of length a, b, c with $a+b+c-2$ vertices. Then the Weyl group $W_{a,b,c}$ of $T_{a,b,c}$ naturally acts on $\mathrm{Pic}(X_{a,b,c})$ by fixing $-K_{a,b,c}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The action of* $W_{a,b,c}$ *fixes the movable cone* $\mathrm{Mov}(X_{a,b,c})$.

Proof. Put $W := W_{a,b,c}$ and $X := X_{a,b,c}$. Consider generators $G_1, \dots, G_r$ of the semigroup $\mathrm{Eff}(X) \cap \mathrm{Pic}(X)$. It follows from [BH, Proposition 7.2] that

$$\mathrm{Mov}(X) = \bigcap_{1 \le i \le r} \mathrm{Cone}(G_1, \dots, \widehat{G_i}, \dots, G_r).$$

By [CT, Lemma 2.3], W permutes $\{G_i \mid 1 \le i \le r\}$ so that it also permutes $\{\mathrm{Cone}(G_1, \dots, \widehat{G_i}, \dots, G_r) \mid 1 \le i \le r\}$. Thus W fixes $\mathrm{Mov}(X)$. □

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.1 can also be viewed more geometrically. The action of $W_{a,b,c}$ on $\mathrm{Mov}(X_{a,b,c})$ is induced by taking strict transforms of divisors under the action of the Cremona involution centered at subsets of the blown-up points. The induced rational map on the blow-up $X_{a,b,c}$ has indeterminacy of codimension 2 [DO, Lemma VI.4.2], and so the strict transform of a movable divisor class is movable.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (1). We use the same notation in Proof of Lemma 2.1. Note that W fixes $G_1 + \cdots + G_r$. Since every W-fixed element in $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is some multiple of $-K_X$, it follows that

$$G_1 + \cdots + G_r = -\ell K_X \quad \text{for some integer } \ell > 0.$$

Thus $-K_X$ is big. Using Lemma 2.1, one can similarly show the movability of $-K_X$: the sum of the generators of $\mathrm{Mov}(X) \cap \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ is fixed by the action of W, and thus must be a positive multiple of the anticanonical class, which is consequently movable. □

3. Log Fano structure of $X_{a,b,c}$

In this section, we first prove Theorem 1.1 (2), and then explain a way to choose a boundary divisor $\Delta_{a,b,c}$ on $X_{a,b,c}$ such that $(X_{a,b,c}, \Delta_{a,b,c})$ is a log Fano pair in Remark 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (2). Put $X := X_{a,b,c}$. Since $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ has the natural $\mathbb{Z}$-grading by the anticanonical degree, we can consider the projective variety $Z = \mathrm{Proj}\,\mathrm{Cox}(X)$. Then $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ is generated by $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$, and Z is a normal projective Gorenstein variety with rational singularities such that $-K_Z$ is ample ([CT, Theorem 1.3]). Thus Z is a Fano variety with canonical singularities so that $\mathrm{Cox}(Z)$ has log terminal singularities by [GOST, Theorem 1.1]. Since $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Cox}(Z)$ are unique factorization domains ([EKW, Corollary 1.2]), they are integrally closed. [CT, Claim 3.7] says that $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ is integral over the homogeneous coordinate ring R of some embedding $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ whose hyperplane section is $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$. Note that $\mathrm{Cox}(Z)$ is a section ring of $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ so that $\mathrm{Cox}(Z)$ is also integral over R. Theorefore, $\mathrm{Cox}(X) = \mathrm{Cox}(Z)$. By applying [GOST, Theorem 1.1] to $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$, we conclude that X is of Fano type. □

Remark 3.1. Here we explain a standard way to choose a boundary divisor Δ on a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial variety X of Fano type under the assumption that $-K_X$ is big and movable. Since X is a Mori dream space by [BCHM, Corollary 1.3.2], we can run the $-K_X$-minimal model program and obtain a model $f\colon X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that X' is also a Mori dream space and $-K_{X'}$ is semiample and big (see [HK, Proposition 1.11]). By Bertini's theorem, there is an irreducible and reduced divisor $H' \in |-mK_{X'}|$ for a sufficiently large integer $m > 0$. Then $(X', \frac{1}{m}H')$ is a klt Calabi-Yau pair. A log pair (X, Δ) is called a *klt Calabi-Yau pair* if it is a klt pair and $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Now $H := f_*^{-1}H'$ is also an irreducible and reduced divisor, and $\frac{1}{m}H \sim -K_X$. Then $(X, \frac{1}{m}H)$ is also a klt Calabi-Yau pair. Note that there is a canonical way to identify $|-mK_{X'}|$ with $|-mK_X|$ for every integer $m > 0$. Thus we can simply choose a general irreducible and reduced element $H \in |-mK_X|$ for a sufficiently large integer $m > 0$. Next, take an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor A on X such that $-K_X - A$ is linearly equivalent to an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor B. For a sufficiently small rational number $\epsilon > 0$, let $\Delta := (1-\epsilon)\frac{1}{m}H + \epsilon B$. Then (X, Δ) is clearly a klt pair and $-(K_X + \Delta) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \epsilon A$ is ample, i.e., (X, Δ) is a log Fano pair.

4. Generators of Cox ring of $X_{a,b,c}$

In this section, we determine generators of $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{2,3,4})$ and $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{3,2,3})$, and explain a computational approach to compute generators of $\mathrm{Cox}(X_{a,b,c})$ in the remaining cases. An effective divisor E on $X_{a,b,c}$ is called a (-1)-*divisor* in the sense of Mukai if $(E, E) = -1$ and $\deg(E) = \frac{1}{ac-a-c}(E, -K_{X_{a,b,c}}) = 1$.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that $X = X_{2,3,4}$ or $X_{3,2,3}$. If D is a divisor on X such that $(D.E) \ge 0$ for every (-1)-divisor E and $(D.E_0) = 0$ for some (-1)-divisor E_0, then there is a global section of $H^0(X, D)$ which vanishes nowhere on E_0.*

Proof. We proceed the induction on the number of blown-up points. First, we consider the case $X = X_{2,3,4} = \mathrm{Bl}_7 \mathbb{P}^3$, which can be contracted to $\mathrm{Bl}_k(\mathbb{P}^3)$. For $1 \leq k \leq 7$, let $X' := \mathrm{Bl}_k \mathbb{P}^3$. The assertion for the base case $k = 1$ is clear. Consider the divisor $L := -\frac{1}{2}K_{X'} = 2H_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{k} E_i$ which satisfies $(L, E) = 1$ for all (-1)-divisors E. After passing to a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification, we may assume that the blow-down $f\colon X' \to Y$ contracts E_0. By the assumption, there is a divisor D' on Y with $f^*D' = D$. Then $(D'.E') \geq 0$ for every (-1)-divisor E' on Y. If there is a (-1)-divisor E'_0 on Y such that $(D', E'_0) = 0$, then D' is effective by the induction. Since we blow up a general point, the conclusion immediately follows. Now we consider the case that $(D'.E') > 0$ for every (-1)-divisor E' on Y. Take m to be the minimum of numbers (D', E') for all (-1)-divisors E' on Y. Let E'_0 satisfy $(D', E'_0) = m$. By the induction, $D'' := D' - mL$ has a nontrivial global section, and so there is a nonzero section $D' = D'' + mL$. By taking pull-back of this global section, we get a global section of $D = f^*D'$ which does not vanish at any point of E_0. The same argument works for the case $X = X_{3,2,3}$ using the divisor $L := -\frac{1}{3}K_X = H_1 + H_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{5} E_i$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We closely follow [BP, Proof of Theorem 3.2]. Let $X = X_{2,3,4}$ or $X_{3,2,3}$, and let $Y = X_{2,2,4}$ or $X_{3,1,3}$ with a blow-up $f\colon X \to Y$. As we saw in the introduction, the assertion holds for Y. It suffices to show that the following claim: For an effective divisor D on X with $\deg(D) \geq 2$, the vector space $H^0(X, D)$ is spanned by global sections of anticanonical degree one divisors. Now we proceed an induction on $\deg(D)$.

Case 1. $(D, E) < 0$ for some (-1)-divisor E. Then $H^0(X, D - E) = H^0(X, D)$. Since $\deg(D - E) = \deg(D) - 1$, the claim for this case follows from the induction.

Case 2. $(D, E) = 0$ for some (-1)-divisor E. By considering a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification, we may assume that the blow-down $f\colon X \to Y$ contracts E. Then $D = f^*D'$ for some effective divisor D' on Y. Then $H^0(X, D) = H^0(Y, D')$ and the claim holds in this case.

Case 3. $(D, E) > 0$ for every (-1)-divisor E. Let m be the minimum of numbers (D, E) for all (-1)-divisors E, and let E_0 be a (-1)-divisor such that $(D, E_0) = m$. Put $D' := D - E_0$. By considering a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification, we may assume that the blow-down $f\colon X \to Y$ contracts E_0. In particular, $E_0 \sim \mathbb{P}^n$ where $n := \dim X - 1$. Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(X, D') \to H^0(X, D) \to H^0(E_0, D|_{E_0}) \to \cdots.$$

By the induction, $H^0(X, D')$ is spanned by global sections of anticanonical degree one divisors. Thus we only have to show that there exist global sections of $H^0(X, D)$ which are generated by global sections of anticanonical degree one divisors and generate $H^0(E_0, D|_{E_0})$. We can naturally identify $H^0(E_0, D|_{E_0})$ with $H^0(E_0, mL|_{E_0}) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m))$ where $L = 2H_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{7} E_i$ or $H_1 + H_2 - \sum_{i=1}^{5} E_i$ as in Proof of Lemma 4.1. Since $(D - mL, E) \geq 0$ for every (-1)-divisor E and $(D - mL, E_0) = 0$, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that there is a global section $s \in H^0(X, D - mL)$ such that s nowhere vanishes on E_0. By multiplying s, we get an injection $H^0(X, mL) \xrightarrow{\cdot s} H^0(X, D)$ whose restriction to E_0 is an isomorphism $H^0(E_0, mL|_{E_0}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(E_0, D|_{E_0})$. It remains to show that there exist global sections of $H^0(X, mL)$ generated by global sections of anticanonical degree one divisors such that their restrictions to E_0 generate $H^0(E_0, mL|_{E_0})$. Note that this statement is trivial when $m = 1$ since L is already a degree one divisor. In particular, one can say that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ is generated by global sections of anticanonical degree one divisors. Then the same is true for the space $H^0(E_0, D|_{E_0}) = H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(m)) = \mathrm{Sym}^m H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. Thus we are done. □

Remark 4.2. We do not determine generators of the Cox ring in the three cases $X = X_{2,3,5}$, $X_{3,2,4}$, and $X_{3,2,5}$. However, we expect that in all these cases the Cox ring is generated by divisors of anticanonical degree 1. This could in principle be verified by a finite computation, which we outline below; however, this seems to be impractical without additional optimizations.

The first step is to compute the set of divisors on X with anticanonical degree 1. Every (-1)-divisor is an effective divisor of anticanonical degree 1. According to Mukai [M2], these divisors are precisely the orbit of one of the exceptional divisors E_0 under the Weyl group. On $X_{2,3,5} = \mathrm{Bl}_8 \mathbb{P}^4$, there are 2160 such divisors, fitting into 15 distinct classes up to the action of S_8. Since the (-1)-divisors generate $\mathrm{Eff}(X_{a,b,c})$, any effective divisor of anticanonical degree 1 is of the form $\sum_i a_i E_i$, where E_i ranges over the (-1)-divisors and the a_i are non-negative rational numbers. The anticanonical degree of $\sum_i a_i E_i$ is $\sum_i a_i$, and so every divisor of anticanonical degree 1 has coefficients in the compact set $0 \leq a_i \leq 1$. In

particular, there are only finitely many integral effective divisors F_j of anticanonical degree 1. For each of these, compute $H^0(X, F_j)$.

Given any divisor D, one can compute the number of distinct representations of D as a linear combination of anticanonical degree one divisors F_j, and so compute the dimension of the image $\bigoplus_j H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(F_j)) \to H^0(X, D)$. For a given divisor D, one can also compute $H^0(X, D)$ directly, and thereby check whether this map is surjective.

Now we argue that it is necessary to check this computation only for effective divisors with anticanonical degree less than some bound. Recall that $Z_{a,b,c} = \operatorname{Proj} \operatorname{Cox}(X_{a,b,c})$ is a Fano variety with canonical singularities by [CT, Theorem 1.3]. By Kodaira vanishing theorem, we get the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity as $\operatorname{reg} \mathcal{O}_{Z_{a,b,c}} = \operatorname{reg} \operatorname{Cox}(X_{a,b,c}) = b(a-1)(c-1) - 1$. Then the maximal anticanonical degree $m_{a,b,c}$ of minimal generators of $\operatorname{Cox}(X_{a,b,c})$ is at most $b(a-1)(c-1)$. By further considering Green's duality and $K_{p,1}$-theorem ([G, Theorems 2.c.6 and 3.c.1]), we see that $m_{a,b,c} \leq b(a-1)(c-1) - 2$. For $X_{2,3,5} = \operatorname{Bl}_8 \mathbb{P}^4$, we have $m_{2,3,5} \leq 10$. If we find that all the sections arise as combinations of anticanonical degree 1 divisors for all D with anticanonical degree $\leq b(a-1)(c-1) - 2$, then our expectation would immediately follow.

Remark 4.3. Elisa Postinghel asks the geometric description of the generators of Cox rings of $X_{a,b,c}$. On $X_{2,3,4} = \operatorname{Bl}_7 \mathbb{P}^3$, the (-1)-divisor $2H_1 - 2E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4 - E_5 - E_6$ can be interpreted as the strict transform of the pointed cone with vertex the first blown-up point over the twisted cubic curve through the first six blown-up points. But we do not know such a geometric description in general.

Remark 4.4. Recall that $\operatorname{reg} \operatorname{Cox}(X_{a,b,c}) = b(a-1)(c-1) - 1$. By considering again Green's duality and $K_{p,1}$-theorem, we see that the maximal degree of minimal generators of the ideal $I_{a,b,c}$ of relations of generators of $\operatorname{Cox}(X_{a,b,c})$ is $\leq b(a-1)(c-1) - 2$. But we expect that the ideal $I_{a,b,c}$ is generated by quadrics as in the del Pezzo surface cases (cf. [BP], [TVAV, Theorem 1.1]).

References

[AM] C. Araujo and A. Massarenti, *Explicit log Fano structures on blow-ups of projective spaces*, preprint, arXiv:1505.02460.

[BP] V. V. Batyrev and O. N. Popov, *"The Cox ring of a del Pezzo surface"*, 85-103 in *Arithmetic of higher-dimensional algebraic varieties* (Palo Alto, CA, 2002), edited by B. Poonen and Y. Tschinkel, Progr. Math. **226** (2004), Birkhäuser, Boston, MA.

[BH] F. Berchtold and J. Hausen, *Cox rings and combinatorics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 1205-1252.

[BDP] M. C. Brambilla, O. Dumitrescu, and E. Postinghel, *On the effective cone of $\mathbb{P}^n$ blownup at $n+3$ points*, to appear in Experimental Mathematics.

[BCHM] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon, and J. M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 405-468.

[CDBDGP] S. Cacciola, M. Donten-Bury, O. Dumitrescu, A. Lo Giudice, and J. Park, *Cones of divisors of blow-ups of projective spaces*, Matematiche (Catania) **66** (2011), 153-187.

[CT] A.-M. Casteravet and J. Tevelev, *Hilbert's 14th problem and Cox rings*, Compositio Math. **142** (2006), 1479-1498.

[DO] I. Dolgachev and D. Ortland, *Point sets in projective spaces and theta functions*. Astérisque No. 165 (1988).

[DPU] O. Dumitrescu, E. Postinghel, and S. Urbinati, *Cones of effective divisors on the blown-up $\mathbb{P}^3$ in general lines*, preprint, arXiv:1512.09005.

[EKW] E. J. Elizondo, K. Kurano, and K. Watanabe, *The total coordinate ring of a normal projective variety*, J. Algebra **276** (2004), 625-637.

[GOST] Y. Gongyo, S. Okawa, A. Sannai, and S. Takagi, *Characterization of varieties of Fano type via singularities of Cox rings*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), 159-182.

[G] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties I*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 125-171.

[HK] Y. Hu and S. Keel, *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 331-348.

[KM] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **134**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1998).

[L] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry I. Classical Setting: line bundles and linear series*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Math. **48**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2004).

[M1] S. Mukai, *Counterexample to Hilbert's fourteenth problem for the 3-dimensional additive group*, RIMS Preprint no. 1343, Kyoto, 2001.

[M2] S. Mukai, *Geometric realization of T-shaped root systems and counterexamples to Hilbert's fourteenth problem* in *Algebraic transformation groups and algebraic varieties*, Encyclopeadia of Mathematical Sciences **132** (2004), Springer, Berlin, 123-130.

[M3] S. Mukai, *Finite generation of the Nagata invariant rings in A-D-E cases*, RIMS Preprint no. 1502, Kyoto, 2005.

[SV] B. Sturmfels and M. Velasco, *Blow-ups of $\mathbb{P}^{n-3}$ at n points and spinor varieties*. J. Commut. Algebra **2** (2010), 223-244.

[TVAV] D. Testa, A. Várilly-Alvarado, and M. Velasco, *Cox rings of degree one del Pezzo surfaces*, Algebra Number Theory **3** (2009), 729-761.

[T] B. Totaro, *Algebraic surfaces and hyperbolic geometry*, Current developments in algebraic geometry, 405-426, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., **59** (2012), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

Department of Mathematics, University Illinois at Chicago, 851 South Morgan St., Chicago, IL 60607, USA
E-mail address: jdl@uic.edu

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02455, Republic of Korea
E-mail address: parkjh13@kias.re.kr